



**Town of Southern Pines
Monday October 24, 2016, 3:00 PM
C. Michael Haney Community Room, Southern Pines Police Department
450 West Pennsylvania Avenue**

Worksession Agenda

- 1. Swearing In of Councilwoman Carol Haney**
- 2. Welcome Center Update – Suzanne Coleman**
- 3. West Southern Pines Sidewalks – Donnie Miller**
- 4. Regional Emergency Management Plan – Deputy Chief Cameron**
- 5. Closed Session Under NC General Statute 143-318.11 (A)(6) For the Purpose of Discussing both a Potential Land Transaction in Addition to a Personnel Matter**

CITIZENS OF WEST SOUTHERN PINES

Town of Southern Pines
OCT 13 2016
Administration

P. O. Box 2438

Southern Pines, N. C. 28387

October 2016

Southern Pines Town Council:

We, the undersigned citizens of West Southern Pines, commend the town for completion for the sidewalks on S. Stephens Street. Believe it or not, after only a few months, we see how the sidewalks have greatly improved the quality of life in the West Southern Pines community. Therefore at this time, we petition for sidewalks on five additional streets in West Southern Pines: **Mechanic Street., Gaines St., Carlisle St., Hardin Street, and Lowe Ave.**

We believe that the sidewalks would have many positive outcomes. Among those are the following:

1. Bringing more safety (Children, the elderly, and the infirm would not have to walk in the streets to essential places such as school, church, grocery stores etc.)
2. Adding to and preserving more beauty in the community.
3. Encouraging more healthy walking (often not done because of unsafe, high-traffic street areas) Most WSP citizens feel it necessary to walk in East Southern Pines – even when walking has been prescribed by physicians.

1. Dennis W. McNeil - (910) 639-9414
2. Cynthia McDonald

SIDEWALK & GREENWAY MASTER PLAN

10 Year Capital Improvements Plan


Town of Southern Pines

Revision 10/17/2013

Proposed Sidewalk Projects										
Type of Facility	Fiscal Year	Location	From	To	Length of 5' Sidewalk (\$50 per lf)	Length of 10' Greenway (\$100 per lf)	Curb & Gutter (\$30 per lf)	Storm Drainage (\$30 per lf)	Retaining Wall (\$40 per lf)	Probable Cost Estimate
W. Iowa Ave.										
Sidewalk	2013/2014	South	Stephens St.	Henley St.	400	0	0	0	0	\$20,000
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					400	0	0	0	0	\$20,000
W. Michigan Ave.										
Sidewalk	2013/2014	North	Stephens St.	Henley St.	400	0	0	0	0	\$20,000
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					400	0	0	0	0	\$20,000
S. Henley Street										
Sidewalk	2013/2014	East	Morganton Rd.	W. Lowe Ave.	1,080	0	1080	0	0	\$86,400
Sidewalk	2013/2014	East	W. Lowe Ave.	W. Michigan Ave.	480	0	480	0	0	\$38,400
Sidewalk	2014/2015	West	W. Michigan Ave.	W. Iowa Ave.	480	0	480	0	0	\$38,400
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					2,040	0	2,040	0	0	\$163,200
S. Ashe Street										
Sidewalk	2014/2015	East	@ E. Connecticut	Towards E. Vermont Ave.	100	0	0	0	0	\$5,000
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					100	0	0	0	0	\$5,000
S. Stephens Street										
Sidewalk	2014/2015	East	W. Michigan Ave.	W. Iowa Ave.	480	0	480	0	0	\$38,400
Sidewalk	2014/2015	West	W. Iowa Ave	W. Wisconsin Ave.	480	0	480	0	0	\$38,400
Sidewalk	2014/2015	West	W. Wisconsin Ave.	W. Illinois Ave.	480	0	480	0	0	\$38,400
Sidewalk	2015/2016	West	W. Illinois Ave.	W. Indiana Ave.	480	0	480	0	0	\$38,400
Sidewalk	2015/2016	West	W. Indiana Ave.	W. Massachusetts Ave.	480	0	480	0	0	\$38,400
Sidewalk	2015/2016	West	W. Massachusetts Ave.	W. New York Ave.	480	0	480	0	0	\$38,400
Sidewalk	2015/2016	West	W. New York Ave.	W. Pennsylvania Ave.	480	0	480	0	0	\$38,400
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					3,360	0	3,360	0	0	\$268,800
W. Morganton Road										
Sidewalk	2016/2017	Both Sides	Bridge	N. Sandhills Blvd.	NCDOT	0	0	0	0	NCDOT
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					NCDOT	0	0	0	0	NCDOT
W. Indiana Ave.										
Sidewalk	2016/2017	North	S. Gaines St.	S. Stephens St.	480	0	480	0	0	\$38,400
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					480	0	480	0	0	\$38,400
Murray Hill Road										
Sidewalk	2016/2017	South	Sandhills Blvd	Cox St.	1,300	0	1300	0	0	\$104,000
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					1,300	0	1,300	0	0	\$104,000
Haley Street										
Sidewalk	2017/2018	West	Holiday St.	Ridgewood Dr.	400	0	0	0	0	\$20,000
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					400	0	0	0	0	\$20,000
Cox Street										
Sidewalk	2017/2018	West	Murray Hill Road	Haley Street	600	0	0	0	0	\$30,000
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					600	0	0	0	0	\$30,000
S. Bennet Street										
Sidewalk	2017/2018	West	W. Illinois Ave.	E. Massachusetts Ave.	960	0	960	0	0	\$76,800
Sidewalk	2017/2018	West	E. Massachusetts Ave.	E. New York Ave.	480	0	0	0	0	\$24,000
Sidewalk	2018/2019	West	ex. Sw @ Mem. Park	W. Wisconsin Ave.	415	0	415	415	0	\$33,200
Sidewalk	2018/2019	West	W. Wisconsin Ave.	W. Illinois Ave.	480	0	480	0	0	\$38,400
Sidewalk	2018/2019	West	E. New York Ave.	ex. Sw on Bennet near W. Pennsylvania Ave.	300	0	0	0	0	\$15,000
Sidewalk	2018/2019	West	W. Pennsylvania Blvd.	New Hampshire Ave.	450	0	0	0	0	\$22,500
Sidewalk	2018/2019	West	E Rhode Island Ave.	E. New Jersey Ave	480	0	480	0	0	\$38,400
Sidewalk	2019/2020	West	New Hampshire	E. Connecticut Ave.	480	0	0	0	0	\$24,000
Sidewalk	2019/2020	West	E. Connecticut Ave.	E. Vermont Ave.	480	0	0	0	0	\$24,000
Sidewalk	2019/2020	West	E. Vermont Ave.	E. Maine Ave.	480	0	0	0	0	\$24,000
Sidewalk	2019/2020	West	E. Maine Ave.	E. Rhode Island Ave.	480	0	0	0	0	\$24,000
Sidewalk	2019/2020	West	E. New Jersey Ave.	E Delaware Ave.	480	0	480	0	0	\$38,400
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					4,525	0	1,855	415	0	\$281,900
Crestview Road										
Sidewalk	2019/2020	South	Page St.	Bennet St.	400	0	0	0	0	\$20,000
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					400	0	0	0	0	\$20,000
E. New York Ave.										
Sidewalk	2020/2021	South	S. Pine St.	S. Glover St.	480	0	480	0	0	\$38,400
Sidewalk	2020/2021	South	S. Glover St.	S. Carlisle St.	480	0	480	0	0	\$38,400
Sidewalk	2020/2021	North	S. May St.	S. Ridge St.	480	0	0	0	0	\$24,000
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					1,440	0	960	0	0	\$100,800
E. New Hampshire Ave.										
Sidewalk	2020/2021	South	Ridge St.	End of Street	200	0	0	0	0	\$10,000
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					200	0	0	0	0	\$10,000
E. Delaware Ave.										
Sidewalk	2020/2021	North	ex. SW on E. Delaware near N. Leak St.	Page St.	200	0	0	0	0	\$10,000
Sidewalk	2020/2021	North	Page St.	S.Bennett St.	400	0	400	0	0	\$32,000
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					600	0	400	0	0	\$42,000
E. Connecticut Ave.										
Sidewalk	2021/2022	North	Ridge St.	Towards S. Weymouth St.	900	0	900	0	0	\$72,000
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					900	0	900	0	0	\$72,000
N. Saylor Street										
Sidewalk	2021/2022	West	W. Pennsylvania Blvd.	E. New Hampshire Ave.	480	0	480	0	0	\$38,400
Sidewalk	2021/2022	East	E. New Hampshire Ave.	E. Connecticut Ave.	480	0	480	0	0	\$38,400
Sidewalk	2022/2023	East	E. Connecticut Ave.	E. Vermont Ave.	480	0	480	0	0	\$38,400
Sidewalk	2022/2023	East	E. Vermont Ave.	E. Maine Ave.	480	0	480	0	0	\$38,400
Sidewalk	2022/2023	East	E. Maine Ave.	E. Rhode Island Ave.	480	0	480	0	0	\$38,400
Sidewalk	2022/2023	East	E. Rhode Island Ave.	E. New Jersey Ave	480	0	480	0	0	\$38,400
Sidewalk	Future	East	E. New Jersey Ave.	E. Delaware Ave.	480	0	480	0	0	\$38,400
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					3,360	0	3,360	0	0	\$268,800
Ridge Street										
Sidewalk	Future	East	Morganton Rd.	Illinois Ave.	480	0	0	0	0	\$24,000
Sidewalk	Future	East	Illinois Ave.	E. Indiana Ave.	480	0	480	0	0	\$38,400
Sidewalk	Future	East	New York Ave	W. Pennsylvania Ave.	480	0	0	0	0	\$24,000
Sidewalk	Future	East	W. Pennsylvania Blvd.	New Hampshire Ave.	480	0	0	0	0	\$24,000
Sidewalk	Future	West	New Hampshire	E. Connecticut Ave.	480	0	0	0	0	\$24,000
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					2,400	0	480	0	0	\$134,400
Knoll Road										
Sidewalk	Future	West	Midland Rd.	Highland View Dr.	2,233	0	0	0	550	\$133,650
Sidewalk	Future	East	Highland View Dr.	ex. SW Knoll Rd.	2,522	0	0	0	1450	\$184,100
Sidewalk	Future	West	Arboretum Dr.	Midland Rd.	1,127	0	0	0	0	\$56,350
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					3,649	0	0	0	1,450	\$240,450

Country Club Drive										
Sidewalk	Future	West	Morganton Rd.	Indiana	400	0	0	0	0	\$20,000
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					400	0	0	0	0	\$20,000
Talamore Drive										
Sidewalk	Future	East	Midland Rd.	Highland View Dr.	1,987	0	0	0	0	\$99,350
Sidewalk	Future	East	Highland View Dr.	End of Talamore Dr.	3,026	0	0	0	0	\$151,300
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					5,013	0	0	0	0	\$250,650
Highland View Dr.										
Sidewalk	Future	South	Knoll Rd.	Talamore Dr	2,046	0	0	0	0	\$102,300
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					2,046	0	0	0	0	\$102,300
SW. Broad Street										
Sidewalk	Future	North	Sandhills Blvd	Bell Ave.	3,593	0	0	0	0	\$179,650
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					3,593	0	0	0	0	\$179,650
S. Bethesda Road										
Sidewalk	Future	East	Bethesda Road	E. Indiana Ave.	3,935	0	3935	300	0	\$314,800
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					3,935	0	3,935	300	0	\$314,800
TOTAL					41,541	0	19,070	715	1,450	2,707,150

Greenway Projects										
Type of Facility	Fiscal Year	Location	From	To	Length of 5' Sidewalk (\$50 per lf)	Length of 10' Greenway (\$100 per lf)	Curb & Gutter (\$30 per lf)	Storm Drainage (\$30 per lf)	Street Crossing	Probable Cost Estimate
Pee Dee Road										
Greenway		North	W. Pennsylvania Blvd.	Midland Rd.	0	3777	1000	200	0	\$413,700
Greenway		North	Midland Rd..	Central Dr.	0	4918	1000	200	0	\$527,800
Midland Road Crossing		North	Intersection of Midland Rd. and W. Pennsylvania Blvd.		0			0	\$25,000.00	\$25,000
Subtotal Greenway Improvements					0	8,695	2,000	400	25,000	\$941,500
Airport Road										
Greenway		North	near Meyer Farm Dr.	Country Club	0	6339	0	0	0	\$633,900
Subtotal Greenway Improvements					0	6,339	0	0	0	\$633,900
Midland Road Greenway Connector										
Greenway		North	Palmer Dr.	Knoll Rd.	0	1900	0	0	0	\$190,000
Subtotal Greenway Improvements					0	1,900	0	0	0	\$190,000
TOTAL					0	16,934	2,000	400	25,000	\$1,765,400




TOWN OF SOUTHERN PINES

PROPOSED SIDEWALK AND GREENWAY TRAIL MASTER PLAN MAY, 2013

Legend

- Southern Pines Town Limits
- Southern Pines Extra Territorial Jurisdiction
- Streets
- Bike Route
- Proposed Sidewalk
- Existing Sidewalk
- Proposed Greenway
- Existing Greenway
- Spot Improvements



SIDEWALK & GREENWAY MASTER PLAN

10 Year Capital Improvements Plan

Town of Southern Pines

Revision 7/14/2016

Proposed Sidewalk Projects										
Type of Facility	Fiscal Year	Location	From	To	Length of 5' Sidewalk (\$50 per lf)	Length of 10' Greenway (\$100 per lf)	Curb &Gutter (\$30 per lf)	Storm Drainage (\$30 per lf)	Retaining Wall (\$40 per lf)	Probable Cost Estimate
W. Indiana Ave.										
Sidewalk	2016/2017	North	S. Gaines St.	S. Stephens St.	480	0	480	0	0	\$38,400
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					480	0	0	0	0	\$38,400
Murray Hill Rd										
Sidewalk	2016/2017	South	Sandhills Blvd	Cox St	1,300	0	1,300	0	0	\$104,000
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					1,300	0	0	0	0	\$104,000
S. Bennett St										
Sidewalk	2017/2018	West	W. Illinois Ave.	W. Massachusetts Ave.	960	0	960	0	0	\$76,800
Sidewalk	2017/2018	West	W. Massachusetts Ave.	W. New York Ave.	480	0	0	0	0	\$24,000
Sidewalk	2017/2018	West	ex. Sw @ Mem. Park.	W. Wisconsin Ave.	840	0	415	415	0	\$54,450
Sidewalk	2018/2019	West	W. Wisconsin Ave.	W. Illinois Ave.	480	0	480	0	0	\$38,400
Sidewalk	2018/2019	West	W. New York Ave.	wx. Sw on Bennett near W. Pennsylvania Ave.	300	0	0	0	0	\$15,000
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					3,060	0	1,855	0	0	\$208,650
N. Bennett St										
Sidewalk	2018/2019	West	W. Pennsylvania Ave.	W. New Hampshire Ave	450	0	0	0	0	\$22,500
Sidewalk	2018/2019	West	W. New Hampshire Ave	W. Connecticut Ave	480	0	0	0	0	\$24,000
Sidewalk	2018/2019	West	W. Connecticut Ave.	W. Vermont Ave	480	0	0	0	0	\$24,000
Sidewalk	2018/2019	West	W. Vermont Ave	W. Maine Ave	480	0	0	0	0	\$24,000
Sidewalk	2019/2020	West	W. Maine Ave.	W. Rhode Island Ave	480	0	0	0	0	\$24,000
Sidewalk	2019/2020	West	W. Rhode Island Ave.	W. New Jersey Ave	480	0	480	0	0	\$38,400
Sidewalk	2019/2020	West	W. New Jersey Ave.	W. Delaware Ave	480	0	480	0	0	\$38,400
Sidewalk	2019/2020	West	W. Delaware Ave.	Crestview Rd.	250	0	480	0	0	\$26,900
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					3,580	0	1,440	0	0	\$222,200
Crestview Rd										
Sidewalk	2019/2020	North	Bennett St.	Page St.	Contractor	0	0	0	0	Contractor
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					Contractor	0	0	0	0	Contractor
W. New York Ave										
Sidewalk	2020/2021	South	S. Pine St.	S. Glover St	480	0	480	0	0	\$38,400
Sidewalk	2020/2021	South	S. Glover St.	S. Carlisle St	480	0	480	0	0	\$38,400
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					480	0	480	0	0	\$38,400
E. New York Ave.										
Sidewalk	2020/2021	North	S. May St.	S. Ridge St.	480	0	0	0	0	\$24,000
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					480	0	0	0	0	\$24,000
Haley Street										
Sidewalk	2020/2021	West	Holiday St.	Ridgewood Dr.	400	0	0	0	0	\$20,000
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					400	0	0	0	0	\$20,000
Cox Street										
Sidewalk	2020/2021	West	Murray Hill Road	Haley Street	600	0	0	0	0	\$30,000
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					600	0	0	0	0	\$30,000
Richards st										
Sidewalk	2021/2022	West	Ridgewood Dr.	Rothney Ave.	300	0	0	0	0	\$15,000
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					300	0	0	0	0	\$15,000
Rothney Ave.										
Sidewalk	2021/2022	South	Richards St	Holiday St	570	0	0	0	0	\$28,500
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					570	0	0	0	0	\$28,500
N. Saylor Street										
Sidewalk	2021/2022	East	W. Pennsylvania Ave.	W. New Hampshire Ave	480	0	480	0	0	\$38,400
Sidewalk	2021/2022	East	W. New Hampshire Ave	W. Connecticut Ave	480	0	480	0	0	\$38,400
Sidewalk	2021/2022	East	W. Connecticut Ave.	W. Vermont Ave.	480	0	480	0	0	\$38,400
Sidewalk	2022/2023	East	W. Vermont Ave.	W. Maine Ave.	480	0	480	0	0	\$38,400
Sidewalk	2022/2023	East	W. Maine Ave.	W. Rhode Island Ave.	480	0	480	0	0	\$38,400
Sidewalk	2022/2023	East	W. Rhode Island Ave.	W. New Jersey Ave.	480	0	480	0	0	\$38,400
Sidewalk	2022/2023	East	W. New Jersey Ave.	W. Delaware Ave	480	0	480	0	0	\$38,400
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					3,360	0	3,360	0	0	\$268,800
E. New Hampshire Ave										
Sidewalk	2023/2024	South	Ridge St.	End of Street	200	0	0	0	0	\$10,000
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					200	960	0	0	0	\$10,000
W. Delaware Ave.										
Sidewalk	2023/2024	North	ex. SW on W. Delaware near N. Leak St.	Page St.	200	0	0	0	0	\$10,000
Sidewalk	2023/2024	North	Page St.	N. Bennett St.	400	0	400	0	0	\$32,000
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					600	0	400	0	0	\$42,000
E. Connecticut Ave.										
Sidewalk	2023/2024	North	Ridge St.	Towards S. Weymouth St.	900	0	900	0	0	\$72,000
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					900	0	0	0	0	\$72,000
S. Ridge Street.										
Sidewalk	2024/2025	East	E. Mortanton Rd.	E. Illinois Ave.	480	480	0	0	0	\$38,400
Sidewalk	2024/2025	East	E. Illinois Ave.	E. Indiana Ave.	480	480	0	0	0	\$38,400
Sidewalk	2024/2025	West	E. New York Ave.	E. Pennsylvania Ave	480	0	0	0	0	\$24,000

Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					1,440	960	0	0	0	\$100,800
N. Ridge Street.										
Sidewalk	2024/2025	West	W. Pennsylvania Blvd.	E. New Hampshire Ave.	480	0	0	0	0	\$24,000
Sidewalk	2024/2025	West	E. New Hampshire Ave.	E. Connecticut Ave.	480	0	0	0	0	\$24,000
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					960	0	0	0	0	\$48,000
Knoll Road										
Sidewalk	2025/2026	West	Midland Rd.	Highland View Dr.	2,233	0	0	0	550	\$133,650
Sidewalk	2026/2027	East	Highland View Dr.	ex. SW Knoll Rd.	2,522	0	0	0	1450	\$184,100
Sidewalk	Future	West	Arboretum Dr.	Midland Rd.	1,127	0	0	0	0	\$56,350
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					3,649	0	0	0	1,450	\$240,450
Country Club Drive										
Sidewalk	Future	West	E. Morganton Rd.	E. Indiana	400	0	0	0	0	\$20,000
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					400	0	0	#REF!	#REF!	\$20,000
Talamore Drive										
Sidewalk	Future	East	Midland Rd.	Highland View Dr.	1,987	0	0	0	0	\$99,350
Sidewalk	Future	East	Highland View Dr.	End of Talamore Dr.	3,026	0	0	0	0	\$151,300
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					5,013	0	0	0	0	\$250,650
Highland View Dr.										
Sidewalk	Future	South	Knoll Rd.	Talamore Dr	2,046	0	0	0	0	\$102,300
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					2,046	0	0	#REF!	#REF!	\$102,300
SW. Broad Street										
Sidewalk	Future	North	Sandhills Blvd	Bell Ave.	3,593	0	0	0	0	\$179,650
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					3,593	0	0	#REF!	0	\$179,650
S. Bethesda Road										
Sidewalk	Future	East	Fort Bragg Rd.	E. Indiana Ave.	3,935	0	3935	300	0	\$314,800
Subtotal Sidewalk Improvements					3,935	0	3,935	300	0	\$314,800
TOTAL					#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!

Greenway Projects										
Type of Facility	Fiscal Year	Location	From	To	Length of 5' Sidewalk (\$50 per lf)	Length of 10' Greenway (\$100 per lf)	Curb &Gutter (\$30 per lf)	Storm Drainage (\$30 per lf)	Street Crossing	Probable Cost Estimate
Pee Dee Road										
Greenway		North	W. Pennsylvania Blvd.	Midland Rd.	0	3777	1000	200	0	\$413,700
Greenway		North	Midland Rd..	Central Dr.	0	4918	1000	200	0	\$527,800
Midland Road Crossing		North	Intersection of Midland Rd. and W. Pennsylvania Blvd.		0			0	\$25,000.00	\$25,000
Subtotal Greenway Improvements					0	8,695	2,000	400	25,000	\$941,500
Airport Road										
Greenway		North	near Meyer Farm Dr.	Country Club	0	6339	0	0	0	\$633,900
Subtotal Greenway Improvements					0	6,339	0	0	0	\$633,900
Midland Road Greenway Connector										
Greenway		North	Palmer Dr.	Knoll Rd.	0	1900	0	0	0	\$190,000
Subtotal Greenway Improvements					0	1,900	0	0	0	\$190,000
TOTAL					0	16,934	2,000	400	25,000	\$1,765,400

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
FEMA Region IV
3003 Chamblee Tucker Road
Atlanta, GA 30341



FEMA

December 9, 2015

Mr. Chris Crew, CFM
State Hazard Mitigation Officer
Hazard Mitigation Branch Chief
NC Department of Public Safety
Division of Emergency Management
4238 Mail Service Center
Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-4238

Reference: Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan: Cape Fear Regional

Dear Mr. Crew:

This is to confirm that we have completed a Federal review of the Cape Fear Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan for compliance with the Federal hazard mitigation planning requirements as contained in 44 CFR 201.6(b)-(d). Based on our review and comments, Cape Fear Regional planning committee developed and submitted all the necessary revisions. Our staff has reviewed and approved these revisions.

We have determined the revised Cape Fear Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan is now compliant with the Federal requirements, subject to formal community adoption. Upon submittal of a copy of documentation of the adoption resolution(s) to our office, we will issue formal approval of the Cape Fear Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan.

Please have the Cape Fear planning committee submit a final copy of their Plan, without draft notations and track changes.

For further information, please do not hesitate to contact Victor Geer, of the Hazard Mitigation Assistance (HMA) Branch, at (770) 220-5659 or Linda L. Byers, of my staff, at (770) 220-5498.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert E. Lowe". The signature is written in a cursive style with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

Robert E. Lowe, Chief
Risk Analysis Branch
Mitigation Division

Agenda Item:
Meeting Date: 1/5/2016

MEMORANDUM TO BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS:

**FROM: Debra Ensminger, Moore County Planning and Community Development
Bryan Phillips, Moore County Public Safety**

DATE: December 16, 2015

SUBJECT: Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan Resolution to Adopt

REQUEST:

Resolution to Adopt the Cape Fear Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan.

BACKGROUND:

As a result of the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 and NC Senate Bill 300 each local government including counties, cities, towns, and villages are required to have an approved Hazard Mitigation Plan in order to apply for hazard mitigation funding. The types of plans throughout North Carolina vary from multi-jurisdictional plans, town plans, city plans, and some village plans. North Carolina has approximately 180 hazard mitigation plans that must be updated every five years.

The counties of Moore, Chatham, Lee, Harnett, Johnston and their incorporated jurisdictions have developed a regional hazard mitigation plan with the assistance of a contractor. Moore County agreed to act as the lead jurisdiction in this process which primarily entailed managing the finances of the grant award. This plan incorporates five (5) multi-jurisdictional hazard mitigation plans into one (1) regional plan.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN:

Receive Moore County Board of Commissioners adoption in conjunction with each of the eleven municipal Board's approval and submit the final documentation to North Carolina Emergency Management and FEMA prior to the December 31, 2016 deadline.

FINANCIAL IMPACT STATEMENT:

Grant funds allowed us to update / renew our plan with no local funds.

RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY:

Resolution to Adopt the Cape Fear Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan.

SUPPORTING ATTACHMENTS:

Sample Adoption Resolution

Link to the plan: <https://atkins.box.com/s/b83hxmuzxc96h4onwe0j8rqtnd8mxx6l>

Cape Fear Regional, NC INTERIM MJ Plan Review Tool

LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW TOOL

The *Local Mitigation Plan Review Tool* demonstrates how the Local Mitigation Plan meets the regulation in 44 CFR §201.6 and offers States and FEMA Mitigation Planners an opportunity to provide feedback to the community.

- The Regulation Checklist provides a summary of FEMA’s evaluation of whether the Plan has addressed all requirements.
- The Plan Assessment identifies the plan’s strengths as well as documents areas for future improvement.
- The Multi-jurisdiction Summary Sheet is an optional worksheet that can be used to document how each jurisdiction met the requirements of the each Element of the Plan (Planning Process; Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment; Mitigation Strategy; Plan Review, Evaluation, and Implementation; and Plan Adoption).

The FEMA Mitigation Planner must reference this *Local Mitigation Plan Review Guide* when completing the *Local Mitigation Plan Review Tool*.

Jurisdiction: Cape Fear Region (Chatham, Harnett, Johnston, Lee, and Moore Counties)	Title of Plan: Cape Fear Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan	Date of Plan: January 2015
Local Point of Contact: D. Scot Brooks	Address: 302 South McNeill St Carthage, NC 28327	E-Mail: sbrooks@moorecountync.gov
Title: Deputy Director of Public Safety		
Agency: Moore County Public Safety		
Phone Number: 910-947-6317 x4353		

State Reviewer: QUINN WOOLARD	Title: MITIGATION PLANNER	Date: 09 JUN 2015

FEMA Reviewer: Lillian Huffman	Title: Program analyst	Date: November 12, 2015 (Revisions reviewed 12/9/15)
Linda L. Byers (QC)	RIV Sr. Lead Planning Specialist	November 25, 2015
Date Received in FEMA Region IV	June 30, 2015	
Plan Not Approved	November 25, 2015	
Plan Approvable Pending Adoption	December 9, 2015	
Plan Approved		

**SECTION 1:
REGULATION CHECKLIST**

INSTRUCTIONS: The Regulation Checklist must be completed by FEMA. The purpose of the Checklist is to identify the location of relevant or applicable content in the Plan by Element/sub-element and to determine if each requirement has been 'Met' or 'Not Met.' The 'Required Revisions' summary at the bottom of each Element must be completed by FEMA to provide a clear explanation of the revisions that are required for plan approval. Required revisions must be explained for each plan sub-element that is 'Not Met.' Sub-elements should be referenced in each summary by using the appropriate numbers (A1, B3, etc.), where applicable. Requirements for each Element and sub-element are described in detail in this *Plan Review Guide* in Section 4, Regulation Checklist.

1. REGULATION CHECKLIST		Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met	Not Met
Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)				
ELEMENT A. PLANNING PROCESS				
A1. Does the Plan document the planning process, including how it was prepared and who was involved in the process for each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(1))	Section 1.3; Section 2; App. D Pages 8:2-8:3	X		
A2. Does the Plan document an opportunity for neighboring communities, local and regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, agencies that have the authority to regulate development as well as other interests to be involved in the planning process? (Requirement §201.6(b)(2))	Section 2.4-2.7; App. D Pages 2:6-2:7	X		
A3. Does the Plan document how the public was involved in the planning process during the drafting stage? (Requirement §201.6(b)(1))	Section 2.6-2.7; App. B; App. D Pages 2:16	X		
A4. Does the Plan describe the review and incorporation of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information? (Requirement §201.6(b)(3))	Section 7.3; Jurisdiction-specific annexes (X.4) Pages 4:7-4:23, 7:3	X		
A5. Is there discussion of how the community (ies) will continue public participation in the plan maintenance process? (Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(iii))	Section 10.4 Page 9:31, 9:38, 9:108, 10:7	X		
A6. Is there a description of the method and schedule for keeping the plan current (monitoring, evaluating and updating the mitigation plan within a 5-year cycle)? (Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(i))	Section 10.3 Pages 10:4-10:5	X		
ELEMENT A: REQUIRED REVISIONS				
ELEMENT B. HAZARD IDENTIFICATION AND RISK ASSESSMENT				

1. REGULATION CHECKLIST		Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met	Not Met
Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)				
B1. Does the Plan include a description of the type, location, and extent of all natural hazards that can affect each jurisdiction(s)? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i))	Section 4; Section 5; Jurisdiction-specific annexes (X.2) Pages 2:11-2:13, 4:8-4:23, 5:4-5:73	X		
B2. Does the Plan include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events for each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i))	Section 5; Jurisdiction-specific annexes (X.2) Pages 2:11-2:13, 4:8-4:23, 5:5-5:73	X		
B3. Is there a description of each identified hazard's impact on the community as well as an overall summary of the community's vulnerability for each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii))	Section 5; Section 6; Jurisdiction-specific annexes (X.2 and X.3) Pages 5:5-5:73, 6:15-6:70	X		
B4. Does the Plan address NFIP insured structures within the jurisdiction that have been repetitively damaged by floods? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii))	Section 5.15.5; Jurisdiction-specific annexes (X.2.13) Pp. 5:52-5:53	X		
ELEMENT B: REQUIRED REVISIONS				
<p>Element B1 – Flooding Extent: Element B1 requires that the Plan include a description of the type, location, and extent of all natural hazards that can affect each jurisdiction. The Local Mitigation Plan Review Guide (page 19) clarifies that extent means the strength or magnitude of the hazard.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Flooding Extent: Flooding extent was provided for each jurisdiction using nearby stream gauges. However the maximum stream gauge height was provided without any reference to what height was normal and what height indicates flood stage. Required revisions: provide information to clarify the magnitude of flooding that the provided gauge heights represent. Local newspapers, National Climatic Data Center event details, FEMA Flood Insurance Studies, or local officials and citizens can also be consulted about the depth of past flooding events to meet the extent requirement. <p><i>(For additional information, please see the "Local Mitigation Plan Review Guide", Element B, Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment, dated October 1, 2011, Pages 19-20 and Tasks 5 of the Local Mitigation Plan Handbook dated March 2013, page 5.3)</i></p> <p>Element B1 – Flood Extent: "Gage height" was re-worded to "crest height". The stream gages measure the crest height of the respective river at that particular point. In general conversation gage height is interchangeable with crest height per several Professional Engineers who specialize in Hydrology. Revisions may be found on pages 5:68 – 5:70 of the Cape Fear Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan.</p> <p>Revisions Received: The Cape Fear Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan has been updated to clarify the magnitude/extent of flooding indicated by the provided river gauge heights. This Element is now met.</p>				
ELEMENT C. MITIGATION STRATEGY				

1. REGULATION CHECKLIST		Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met	Not Met
Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)				
C1. Does the plan document each jurisdiction's existing authorities, policies, programs and resources and its ability to expand on and improve these existing policies and programs? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3))	Section 7; Jurisdiction-specific annexes (X.4)	X		
	Pages 7:1-7:18			
C2. Does the Plan address each jurisdiction's participation in the NFIP and continued compliance with NFIP requirements, as appropriate? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(ii))	Section 5.15.4; Section 7.3.4; Jurisdiction-specific annexes (X.2.13 and X.4.1)	X		
	Pages 5:51-5:52; 7:9-7:10 and Section 9 pages: 6,16-17, 24, 36, 39, 42, 44, 47, 49, 53, 57, 61, 63-64, 66, 70, 73, 75, 77, 78, 83, 88, 93, 95-97, 100, 117, 139, 160, 181, 202, 223, 246, 267, 288, 309, 330			
C3. Does the Plan include goals to reduce/avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(i))	Section 8.2; Jurisdiction-specific annexes (X.5.1)	X		
	Pages 8:3-8:4			
C4. Does the Plan identify and analyze a comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions and projects for each jurisdiction being considered to reduce the effects of hazards, with emphasis on new and existing buildings and infrastructure? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(ii))	Section 8.3-8.4; Section 9.2; Jurisdiction-specific annexes (X.5.2)	X		
	Pages 9:4-9:346			
C5. Does the Plan contain an action plan that describes how the actions identified will be prioritized (including cost benefit review), implemented, and administered by each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(iv)); (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(iii))	Section 8.1.1; Section 9.2; Jurisdiction-specific annexes (X.5.2)	X		
	Pages 8:2-3, 9:4-9:346, 10:3-10:4			
C6. Does the Plan describe a process by which local governments will integrate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, such as comprehensive or capital improvement plans, when appropriate? (Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(ii))	Section 7.3.1 (Table 7.1); Section 10.1-10.2; Jurisdiction-specific annexes (X.4.1)	X		
	Pages 10:3-10:4			

1. REGULATION CHECKLIST

Location in Plan
(section and/or
page number)

Met Not
Met

Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)

ELEMENT C: REQUIRED REVISIONS

Element C4 – Mitigation Actions: Element C4 requires that each jurisdiction participating in the plan must have mitigation actions specific to that jurisdiction. The towns of Goldston and Pittsboro have an exact copy of their county’s mitigation actions. The towns can meet this requirement by assigning partial responsibility to their local officials or by including their role in either the action description or the implementation status.

(For additional information, please see the “Local Mitigation Plan Review Guide”, Element C, Mitigation Strategy, dated October 1, 2011, Page 24 and Tasks 6 of the Local Mitigation Plan Handbook dated March 2013, page 6:7)

Element C4: The mitigation actions for the Towns of Goldston and Pittsboro have been updated to reflect the respective town’s department who will be responsible for implementing the actions in conjunction with the County. Revisions may be found on pages 9:16 – 9:21 of the Cape Fear Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan.

Revisions Received: The Cape Fear Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan has been updated to include mitigation actions that are specific to the jurisdictions of Goldston and Pittsboro. **This Element is now met.**

ELEMENT D. PLAN REVIEW, EVALUATION, AND IMPLEMENTATION (applicable to plan updates only)

D1. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in development? (Requirement §201.6(d)(3))	Section 6.4.3 Pages 6:12-6:14	X	
D2. Was the plan revised to reflect progress in local mitigation efforts? (Requirement §201.6(d)(3))	Section 2.8; Section 8.5; Section 9.2; Jurisdiction-specific annexes (X.5.2) Pages 9:4-9:346	X	
D3. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in priorities? (Requirement §201.6(d)(3))	Section 5.21 (Table 5.38); Section 9.2; Jurisdiction-specific annexes (X.2.19 and X.5.2; Table X.32) Pages 8:2-8:4	X	

ELEMENT D: REQUIRED REVISIONS

ELEMENT E. PLAN ADOPTION

E1. Does the Plan include documentation that the plan has been formally adopted by the governing body of the jurisdiction requesting approval? (Requirement §201.6(c)(5))	App. A		X
E2. For multi-jurisdictional plans, has each jurisdiction requesting approval of the plan documented formal plan adoption? (Requirement §201.6(c)(5))	App. A		X

1. REGULATION CHECKLIST		Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met	Not Met
Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)				
ELEMENT E: REQUIRED REVISIONS				
E1 & E2 - None of the participating jurisdictions have provided documentation of adopting the Updated Plan. This requirement cannot be scored as met until documentation has been submitted.				
Required Revisions:				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The plan must include documentation of plan adoption, usually a resolution by the governing body or other authority. • If adopted after FEMA review, adoption must take place within one calendar year of receipt of FEMA's "Approvable Pending Adoption". • Each jurisdiction that is included in the plan must have its governing body adopt the plan, even when a regional agency has the authority to prepare such plans. 				
<i>(Additional information can be found in the "Local Mitigation Plan Review Guide", Element E: Plan Adoption, dated October 1, 2011, Pages 28-29. Also see the Local Mitigation Plan Handbook dated March 2013, Task 8.)</i>				
ELEMENT F. ADDITIONAL STATE REQUIREMENTS (OPTIONAL FOR STATE REVIEWERS ONLY; NOT TO BE COMPLETED BY FEMA)				
F1.				
F2.				
ELEMENT F: REQUIRED REVISIONS				

SECTION 2: PLAN ASSESSMENT

INSTRUCTIONS: The purpose of the Plan Assessment is to offer the local community more comprehensive feedback to the community on the quality and utility of the plan in a narrative format. The audience for the Plan Assessment is not only the plan developer/local community planner, but also elected officials, local departments and agencies, and others involved in implementing the Local Mitigation Plan. The Plan Assessment must be completed by FEMA. The Assessment is an opportunity for FEMA to provide feedback and information to the community on: 1) suggested improvements to the Plan; 2) specific sections in the Plan where the community has gone above and beyond minimum requirements; 3) recommendations for plan implementation; and 4) ongoing partnership(s) and information on other FEMA programs, specifically RiskMAP and Hazard Mitigation Assistance programs. The Plan Assessment is divided into two sections:

1. Plan Strengths and Opportunities for Improvement
2. Resources for Implementing Your Approved Plan

Plan Strengths and Opportunities for Improvement is organized according to the plan Elements listed in the Regulation Checklist. Each Element includes a series of italicized bulleted items that are suggested topics for consideration while evaluating plans, but it is not intended to be a comprehensive list. FEMA Mitigation Planners are not required to answer each bullet item, and should use them as a guide to paraphrase their own written assessment (2-3 sentences) of each Element.

The Plan Assessment must not reiterate the required revisions from the Regulation Checklist or be regulatory in nature, and should be open-ended and to provide the community with suggestions for improvements or recommended revisions. The recommended revisions are suggestions for improvement and are not required to be made for the Plan to meet Federal regulatory requirements. The italicized text should be deleted once FEMA has added comments regarding strengths of the plan and potential improvements for future plan revisions. It is recommended that the Plan Assessment be a short synopsis of the overall strengths and weaknesses of the Plan (no longer than two pages), rather than a complete recap section by section.

Resources for Implementing Your Approved Plan provides a place for FEMA to offer information, data sources and general suggestions on the overall plan implementation and maintenance process. Information on other possible sources of assistance including, but not limited to, existing publications, grant funding or training opportunities, can be provided. States may add state and local resources, if available.

A. Plan Strengths and Opportunities for Improvement

This section provides a discussion of the strengths of the plan document and identifies areas where these could be improved beyond minimum requirements.

Element A: Planning Process

Strengths – Planning Team: The Cape Fear Regional Hazard Mitigation Planning Team not only included staff from a broad spectrum of local government departments and agencies but also included a wide variety of stakeholders from regional and state agencies as well as non-profit groups and the private sector, including utilities. This combination of experience provides the planning team with a broad range of viewpoints and expertise on a wide variety of hazard mitigation issues.

Strengths – Planning Process: The Planning Team decided to hold additional meetings in each participating county to ensure that municipalities and other stakeholders had good opportunity for involvement.

Strengths – Public Participation: There was strong public participation in the survey. In particular, the Towns of Benson and Clayton in Johnston County had a high percentage of their population responding. In the future, the Planning Team may wish to include more detail in Appendix D concerning answers to the open-ended survey questions to better document the specifics of the public input and how it was considered in the plan development.

Strengths – Continued Public Participation: Several of the jurisdictions included specific mitigation actions to hold community events that will not only educate the public on mitigation activities but will also provide an opportunity for the public to express their observations, ideas, and priorities. These community events include an annual open house in Harnett County and a bi-annual public outreach symposium in Moore County. The Town of Coats regularly holds semi-annual town meetings designed to push out mitigation strategies and seek input from residents.

Element B: Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment

Strengths - The plan did a good job of explaining the methodology used to evaluate potential dollar losses to the region for the wide variety of hazards. Dollar losses provide one means of comparing diverse hazards to help determine which present the greatest risk to the region. Hazus-HM software was used to model the region's risk from hurricane winds and earthquakes. These are two hazards that are difficult to analyze with historic information due to the long return period between events, and it is helpful to use modeling to get a grasp of their potential impacts on the county. By estimating annualized losses for these and the other hazards, a good perspective was achieved on the relative risk that the communities face from the different hazards. In addition, the use of GIS to estimate the value of buildings in the 1% and 2% annual chance floodplain helps communities assess the

need for flood mitigation actions even when there has been no recent flooding. The Priority Risk Index provided a good summary of the relative risk that the region faces from different hazards based on additional criteria.

Element C: Mitigation Strategy

Strengths – Participants in the Cape Fear Regional Mitigation Plan have developed Mitigation Action Plans with a broad range of planning, regulatory, and public information initiatives. Many jurisdictions have also selected specific structure and infrastructure projects to work on, while others plan to use their inspection process to identify new projects for implementation.

Opportunities for Improvement – Other jurisdictions may wish to incorporate specific structure, and infrastructure projects into their plan, which may lead to future opportunities for funding and implementation. The Local Mitigation Planning Handbook discusses the development of mitigation actions on pages 6-3 – 6-6.

Element D: Plan Update, Evaluation, and Implementation (*Plan Updates Only*)

The participating jurisdictions provided thoughtful updates in the implementation status section of their Mitigation Action Plan. The updates showed incremental progress toward completion of the actions and often discussed the continuation of or follow-up to completed actions. Communities that had not made progress with their Mitigation Action Plan were frank concerning the reasons and their future efforts. These detailed updates will help future Planning Team members understand the history of the plan and help interested citizens understand what their local government is doing on their behalf.

B. Resources for Implementing Your Approved Plan

Mitigation Planning Toolkit

This is an extensive web based tool to assist States, Local, and Tribal Communities involved in Hazard Mitigation Plan Development and Updates. The content will help guide the direction of plan development and required updates.

<http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=5580>

Local Mitigation Planning Handbook

This Handbook provides guidance to local governments on developing or updating hazard mitigation plans to meet the requirements under the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Title 44 – Emergency Management and Assistance §201.6.

Use the Local Plan Guide and Handbook in tandem to understand technical requirements

<http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?fromSearch=fromsearch&id=7209>

Integrating Mitigation Strategies with Local Planning

This resource provides practical guidance on how to incorporate risk reduction strategies into existing local plans, policies, codes, and programs that guide community development or redevelopment patterns.

<http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=7130>

Mitigation Ideas

Communities can use this resource to identify and evaluate a range of potential mitigation actions for reducing risk to natural hazards and disasters.

<http://www.fema.gov/media-library/assets/documents/30627>

**SECTION 3:
MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET (OPTIONAL)**

INSTRUCTIONS: For multi-jurisdictional plans, a Multi-jurisdiction Summary Spreadsheet may be completed by listing each participating jurisdiction, which required Elements for each jurisdiction were 'Met' or 'Not Met,' and when the adoption resolutions were received. This Summary Sheet does not imply that a mini-plan be developed for each jurisdiction; it should be used as an optional worksheet to ensure that each jurisdiction participating in the Plan has been documented and has met the requirements for those Elements (A through E).

MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET												
#	Jurisdiction Name	Jurisdiction Type (city/borough/township/village, etc.)	Plan POC	Mailing Address	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)				F. State Requirements	
							A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation		E. Plan Adoption
1	CHATHAM COUNTY	COUNTY					Y	Y	Y	Y		
2	Goldston	Town					Y	Y	Y			
3	Pittsboro	Town					Y	Y	Y			
4	Siler City	Town					Y	Y	Y			
5	HARNETT COUNTY	COUNTY					Y	Y	Y			
6	Angier	Town					Y	Y	Y			
7	Coats	Town					Y	Y	Y			
8	Dunn	City					Y	Y	Y			
9	Erwin	Town					Y	Y	Y			

MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET

#	Jurisdiction Name	Jurisdiction Type (city/borough/township/village, etc.)	Plan POC	Mailing Address	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
							A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Requirements
10	Lillington	City					Y	Y	Y	Y		
11	JOHNSTON COUNTY	COUNTY					Y	Y	Y	Y		
12	Archer Lodge	Town					Y	Y	Y	Y		
13	Benson	Town					Y	Y	Y	Y		
14	Clayton	Town					Y	Y	Y	Y		
15	Four Oaks	Town					Y	Y	Y	Y		
16	Kenly	Town					Y	Y	Y	Y		
17	Micro	Town					Y	Y	Y	Y		
18	Pine Level	Town					Y	Y	Y	Y		
19	Princeton	Town					Y	Y	Y	Y		
20	Selma	Town					Y	Y	Y	Y		
21	Smithfield	Town					Y	Y	Y	Y		
22	Wilson's Mills	Town					Y	Y	Y	Y		
23												

MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET

#	Jurisdiction Name	Jurisdiction Type (city/borough/ township/ village, etc.)	Plan POC	Mailing Address	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
							A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Requirements
24	LEE COUNTY	COUNTY					Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
25	Broadway	Town					Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
26	Sanford	City					Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
27	MOORE COUNTY	COUNTY					Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
28	Aberdeen	Town					Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
29	Cameron	Town					Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
30	Carthage	Town					Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
31	Foxfire Village	Village					Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
32	Pinebluff	Town					Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
33	Pinehurst	Village					Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
34	Robbins	Town					Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
35	Southern Pines	Town					Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
	Taylorstown	Town					Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
36	Vass	Town					Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	

MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET

#	Jurisdiction Name	Jurisdiction Type (city/borough/ township/ village, etc.)	Plan POC	Mailing Address	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
							A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Require- ments
37	Whispering Pines	Village					Y	Y	Y	Y		

**RESOLUTION TO ADOPT THE
CAPE FEAR REGIONAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN**

WHEREAS, (JURISDICTION NAME) is vulnerable to an array of natural hazards that can cause loss of life and damages to public and private property; and

WHEREAS, the (JURISDICTION NAME) desires to seek ways to mitigate situations that may aggravate such circumstances; and

WHEREAS, the development and implementation of a hazard mitigation plan can result in actions that reduce the long-term risk to life and property from natural hazards; and

WHEREAS, it is the intent of the (LOCAL GOVERNING BODY) to protect its citizens and property from the effects of natural hazards by preparing and maintaining a local hazard mitigation plan; and

WHEREAS, it is also the intent of the (LOCAL GOVERNING BODY) to fulfill its obligation under North Carolina General Statutes, Chapter 166A: North Carolina Emergency Management Act and Section 322: Mitigation Planning, of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act to remain eligible to receive state and federal assistance in the event of a declared disaster affecting the (JURISDICTION NAME); and

WHEREAS, (JURISDICTION NAME), in coordination with Chatham County, Harnett County, Johnston County, Lee County, Moore County and the participating municipalities within those counties, has prepared a multi-jurisdictional hazard mitigation plan with input from the appropriate local and state officials;

WHEREAS, the North Carolina Division of Emergency Management and the Federal Emergency Management Agency have reviewed the Cape Fear Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan for legislative compliance and has approved the plan pending the completion of local adoption procedures;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the (GOVERNING BODY) of (JURISDICTION NAME) hereby:

1. Adopts the Cape Fear Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan; and
2. Agrees to take such other official action as may be reasonably necessary to carry out the proposed actions of the Plan.

Adopted on _____, 2016.

, Chair
LOCAL GOVERNING BODY

ATTEST:

, Clerk

**RESOLUTION TO ADOPT THE
CAPE FEAR REGIONAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN**

WHEREAS, the Town of Southern Pines in Moore County is vulnerable to an array of natural hazards that can cause loss of life and damages to public and private property; and

WHEREAS, the Town of Southern Pines desires to seek ways to mitigate situations that may aggravate such circumstances; and

WHEREAS, the development and implementation of a hazard mitigation plan can result in actions that reduce the long-term risk to life and property from natural hazards; and

WHEREAS, it is the intent of the Southern Pines Town Council to protect its citizens and property from the effects of natural hazards by preparing and maintaining a local hazard mitigation plan; and

WHEREAS, it is also the intent of the Southern Pines Town Council to fulfill its obligation under North Carolina General Statutes, Chapter 166A: North Carolina Emergency Management Act and Section 322: Mitigation Planning, of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act to remain eligible to receive state and federal assistance in the event of a declared disaster affecting the Town of Southern Pines; and

WHEREAS, the Town of Southern Pines, in coordination with Chatham County, Harnett County, Johnston County, Lee County, Moore County and the participating municipalities within those counties, has prepared a multi-jurisdictional hazard mitigation plan with input from the appropriate local and state officials;

WHEREAS, the North Carolina Division of Emergency Management and the Federal Emergency Management Agency have reviewed the Cape Fear Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan for legislative compliance and has approved the plan pending the completion of local adoption procedures;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Town Council of Southern Pines hereby:

1. Adopts the Cape Fear Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan; and
2. Agrees to take such other official action as may be reasonably necessary to carry out the proposed actions of the Plan.

Adopted on _____, 2016.

W. David McNeill, Jr., Mayor
Town of Southern Pines

ATTEST:

Peggy K. Smith, Town Clerk